

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
CHARLESTON DIVISION

Joseph Kucinich,	)	C.A. No.: 2:24-cv-00193-RMG
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>C O M P L A I N T</b>
	)	
Life Insurance Company of North	)	
America,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

The Plaintiff, complaining of the Defendant herein, would show unto this Honorable Court as follows:

I.

Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Mount Pleasant, South Carolina.

II.

Defendant is an insurance company organized and existing pursuant to the laws of one of the States of the United States, and which does business in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina.

III.

In this matter, Plaintiff seeks short term and long term disability benefits under ERISA plans pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B) and this court has jurisdiction to consider this matter based upon a federal question. Defendant is subject to jurisdiction in this court because it has more than minimum contacts with this forum (*see* 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)), and a number of the events transpiring during the review of Plaintiff's claim occurred in this forum.

## IV.

Until August 2022, Plaintiff was employed with LoanDepot and as an employee of LoanDepot, Plaintiff was provided with short term and long term disability coverage via plans which are fully insured by Defendant. Besides being the insurer of the plans, Defendant is also the sole entity responsible for determining whether claims such as the Plaintiff's should be paid. Accordingly, Defendant is the claim administrator and a fiduciary of the plan for the purpose of deciding whether benefits are payable. As the claim administrator, insurer, and fiduciary of the plan in which Plaintiff participated the Defendant is a proper party Defendant in the matter *sub judice* wherein Plaintiff seeks benefits pursuant to ERISA 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B).

## V.

Plaintiff became disabled because of certain problems from which he suffered, Plaintiff was forced to cease working and he filed a claim for short term and long term disability benefits.

## VI.

Defendant denied Plaintiff's claims. Plaintiff appealed the denial and fully exhausted administrative remedies, but Defendant has failed and refused to provide additional benefits.

## VII.

Defendant made its initial claim decision while operating under a conflict of interest which significantly influenced the Defendant to deny Plaintiff's claims. The Defendant's initial decision was not based upon substantial evidence or the result of a principled and reasoned decision-making process. Instead, the Defendant's initial decision was reached by Defendant ignoring relevant evidence pertaining to Plaintiff's claim and, instead, relying

upon biased information and flawed expert opinions. Accordingly, Defendant operated under a conflict of interest which improperly and significantly influenced its initial claim decision.

**FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

VIII.

Plaintiff incorporates all prior allegations, where not inconsistent, as if fully set forth herein.

IX.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court consider the administrative record compiled in this case and any other evidence relevant to any factors discussed by *Champion v. Black & Decker*, 550 F.3d 353 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008), if applicable and depending on the standard of review, and declare, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B), that Plaintiff is entitled to the benefits which he seeks under the terms of the plan. In the event that the court reviews the record and/or other relevant information and determines that the Defendant abused its discretion or that its decision is not supported by the record, but that the substance of the record might not support Plaintiff's entitlement to benefits then Plaintiff respectfully asks that, in the event of such a finding, that the court exercise its inherent power to remand Plaintiff's claim for a "full and fair" review by the appropriate claim fiduciary Defendant. Should the court award Plaintiff any part of the relief requested, Plaintiff additionally prays that the Court award him attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g).

**WHEREFORE**, having fully stated his complaint against the Defendant, Plaintiff prays for a declaration of entitlement to the short term and long term disability benefits he seeks pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B), attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C.

§1132(g), and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper, including pre-judgment interest on all benefits due from the point at which benefits were payable through the time of judgment.

s/ Nathaniel W. Bax  
Nathaniel W. Bax, Esq.  
Federal Bar #: 09835  
**FOSTER LAW FIRM, LLC**  
25 Mills Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29605  
(864) 242-6200  
(864) 233-0290 (facsimile)  
E-mail: nbax@fosterfoster.com

Date: January 12, 2024

Attorneys for Plaintiff